

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/721,672 | 11/25/2003 | Lisa V. Lloyd | IVP-109 | 5640 |
| 7590 12/14/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Lisa V. Lloyd | | | DOAN, ROI | BYN KIEU |
| 3335 N Manor I | Or | | | |
| Tucson, AZ 85750 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3732 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 12/14/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/721,672 | LLOYD, LISA V. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Robyn Doan | 3732 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Se | ptember 2005. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| · <u>-</u> | , — | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,8,9 and 16-22</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,8,9 and 16-22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | , , | | | | |
| Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/721,672 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Amendment filed 09/27/05 has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 6 and 9 have been amended. New claims 16-22 have been added. Claims 1-5, 7 and 10-15 have been canceled. Limitations of new and amended claims have not been found to be patentable over newly discovered prior art, therefore, claims 6, 8-9, 16-22 are rejected under the new ground rejections as set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16-18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 16-18 and 20-22 are improper dependent claims because it is not clear whether or not they depend to the whole invention of claims 6, 19.

Claim 16 is a redundant claim in view of claim 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 3732

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6, 8, 16-19 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Renstrom et al (U.S Pat. 3,082,773).

With regard to claims 6, 8 and 16-18, Renstrom et al discloses a one piece hair clip (figs. 1 and 7) comprising an upper, flexible concaved portion (2), a lower flexible portion (1) having a plurality of interior teeth (11, col. 1, lines 70-72 and col. 2, lines 49-51) being capable of combing and holding large amount of hair in place, said lower flexible portion hingedly connected to the upper concaved portion (at 3) whereby the flexible hair clip can be snap-action closed and opened position (col. 1, lines 40-45). The lower portion being at least as long as the upper portion (fig. 1) and the plurality of teeth being arranged substantially parallel and thus forming a comb (fig. 7). In regard to claims 19 and 22, Renstrom et al discloses means for gathering hair in position (17) with a concaved upper portion (2), means for combing action (11) with a lower portion (1) that comprises two or more comb teeth (11), the lower and upper portions hingedly connected by a living hinge (3), also the lower portion being flexible thus able to conform to the upper portion when the upper portion in closed position (fig. 6). The upper and lower portions can be snap-action concaved (col. 1, lines 40-45). In regard to claim 21, means for gathering hair in position having an opening (fig. 1) formed between the upper and lower portions.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Renstrom et al.

With regard to claims 9 and 20, Renstrom et al discloses a one piece flexible hair clip comprising all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 6 and 19 as discussed above except for the material of the one piece being spring steel and the plurality of teeth being rigid. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the different grade of emery i.e. fine or coarse, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6 and 19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3732

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,672 Page 6

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robyn Doan Examiner Art Unit 3732

> John J. Wilson Primary Examiner

l.g. Will